

United States Patent and Trademark Office

fu)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,338	06/26/2003	Shin Nishizawa	P 0304519 H7952	. 5585
7590 01/11/2008 Pillsbury Winthrop LLP Intellectual Property Group Suite 2800 725 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406			EXAMINER	
			ZHAO, DAQUAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			·	
·			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,338	NISHIZAWA, SHIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daquan Zhao	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 C 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	, .	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	·	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2003.	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/19/2007.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

10/607,338 Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maruyama et al (US 6,560,407 B2) and further in view of Anderson et al (US 2003/0,194,214 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Maruyama et al teach a method of controlling an operation of an optical disc recording apparatus capable of recording record information onto an optical disc and forming an image corresponding to image information on the optical disc (e.g. column 28, line 25- column, line 29, line 20), the method comprising the steps of: determining an operation of recording the record information by the optical disc recording apparatus (e.g. user determine the recording mode to record data on the DVD, wherein the data includes recording average bit rate, recording channel number, recording data/time...etc, which corresponds to the "record information") and an operation of forming the image corresponding to the image information by the optical

10/607,338 Art Unit: 2621

disc recording apparatus (e.g. column 30, line 15- column 31, line 28, the representative picture data are printed on the surface of the optical disc 10, also see figure 21); and

giving instructions for starting recording of the record information and instructions for starting formation of the image corresponding to the image information to the optical disc recording apparatus after the determining step (e.g. column 30, line 15- column 31, line 28, the representative picture data are printed on the surface of the optical disc 10, also see figure 21).

However, Maruyama et al fail to teach the recording information is recorded and the image is formed on the same side of the optical disc by applying a laser bean.

Anderson et al teach the recording information is recorded and the image is formed on the same side of the optical disc by applying a laser bean (e.g. see abstract and paragraph [0028]). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Anderson et al into the teaching of Maruyama et al for users to conveniently to manage their disc files (Anderson et al, paragraph [0003]).

Claim 6 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 1 above.

Claim 3 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 1 above with further limitation: displaying on the display an optical an optical disc information reflecting the record information and the image which are determined by the user (see figures 21 and 22, display print menu frame).

10/607,338 Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 4, Maruyama et al teach the optical disc information includes a preview display reflecting the determined record information and the determined imaged (e.g. figure 22 shows the display of the print menu frame which includes "recording date", "recording channel", and reflects the determined record information and determined imaged).

Regarding claim 5, Maruyama et al teach a step of urging the user to determine whether the recording and forming step is to be executed based on the optical disc information (e.g. user gives instruction by pressing key 5pri of the remote controller 5 in step ST1 of figure 21, also see figure 20).

Regarding claim 7, Maruyama et al teach a notifying function of requesting an input of setting information which is necessary for determining the recording operation and the image forming operation by the optical recording apparatus (e.g. figure 21, step ST2 shows the display print menu frame to notifying user what the printing data on the disc would be), and

wherein the operation determining step includes a step of determining the operation of recording the record information (e.g. user presses the "record button" on the remote controller) and determining the operation of forming the image in accordance with the input setting information before the instructing step (e.g. user presses the print button after the print menu frame is displayed).

Regarding claim 8, Maruyama et al teach a first obtaining step of obtaining an information amount of designated record information and an information amount of designated image information, and a notifying function of notifying the information

10/607,338 Art Unit: 2621

amounts of the information which are obtained by the first obtaining function, and wherein setting information includes at least record file information for designating record information and image file information for designating image information (e.g. column 31, lines 28-45, and figure 23c, the amount used for storing the image is considered to be the "an information amount of designated record information and an information amount of designated image information", wherein "an information amount of designated image information" is considered not differ from "an information amount of designated record information" because the image is recorded).

Regarding claim 9, Maruyama et al teach the program further causes the computer to execute: a first obtaining step of obtaining an information amount of designated record information and an information amount of designated image information; a second obtaining step of obtaining a free area of the optical disc; and a notifying step of comparing a total of the information amounts of the information which are obtained in the first obtaining step with the free area which is obtained in the second obtaining step, and, when the total of the information amounts is larger than the free area, notifying that the total of the information amounts is larger than the free area, and wherein the setting information includes at least record file information for designating record information and image file information for designating image information (e.g. column 31, lines 28-45, and figure 23, user visually compares the used and unused amount in contract with each other. the amount used for storing the image is considered to be the "an information amount of designated record information and an information amount of designated image information", wherein "an information amount

10/607,338 Art Unit: 2621

of designated image information" is considered not differ from "an information amount of designated record information" because the image is recorded).

Regarding claim 2, Maruyama et al teach the operation of recording the record information is determined after the operation of forming the image is determined (e.g. user can determine press either the "record" button or the "print" first and then the other one next).

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maruyama et al (US 6,560,407 B2) and Anderson et al (US 2003/0,194,214 A1) as applied to claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 above, and further in view of Honda et al (US 7,015,939 B2).

See the teaching of Maruyama et al and Anderson et al above.

For claim 13, Maruyama et al and Anderson et al fail to teach a pattern of an image formation. Honda et al teach writing different pattern of image on the surface of the disk (e.g. see figure 12A-C, column 10, line 59- column 11, line 2, and column 2, lines 11-20). It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to indicate a pattern of an image information to be form on the optical disc to obviating a necessity of the user for writing of an image performed with a pen (e.g. Honda et al, column 1, lines 35-45).

10/607,338 Art Unit: 2621

5. Claims 10, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maruyama et al (US 6,560,407 B2) and Anderson et al (US 2003/0,194,214 A1) as applied to claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 above.

See the teaching of Maruyama et al and Anderson et al above.

For claims 10 and 12, Maruyama et al and Anderson et al fail to teach editing the information. It is noted that editing the information is well known in the art. The examiner takes official notice for it. It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to edit the information in accordance with a result of comparison between the information amounts of the information obtained in the first obtaining step, and the free area obtained in the second obtaining step to avoid recording error due to insufficient storage space.

For claim 11, Maruyama et al teach the total of the information amounts of the information is larger than the free area as a result of the comparison between the information amounts of the information obtained in the first obtaining step and the free area obtained in the second obtaining function (e.g. column 31, lines 29-45, and figure 22 A-C, user visually compare the used and unused storage amount).

6. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maruyama et al (US 6,560,407 B2) and Anderson et al (US 2003/0,194,214 A1) as applied to claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10,11, and 12 above, and further in view of Honda et al (US 7,015,939 B2).

See the teaching of Maruyama et al and Anderson et al above.

10/607,338

Art Unit: 2621

For claim 14, Maruyama et al and Anderson et al fail to teach a pattern of an image formation. Honda et al teach writing different pattern of image on the surface of the disk (e.g. see figure 12A-C, column 10, line 59- column 11, line 2, and column 2, lines 11-20). It would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to indicate a pattern of an image information to be form on the optical disc to obviating a necessity of the user for writing of an image performed with a pen (e.g. Honda et al, column 1, lines 35-45).

10/607,338 Art Unit: 2621 Page 9

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEG § 706.07 (a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136 (a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing data of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing data of this action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period. Then the shortened statutory period will expire on the data the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing data of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the data of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daquan Zhao whose telephone number is (571) 270-1119. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fri. 7:30 -5, alt Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tran Thai Q, can be reached on (571)272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daquan Zhao

Trấn Thai Q

Supervisory Patent Examiner